

**Report on Composition Program**  
**Fall 2015, Spring 2016**

As Director of Composition I conducted class observations of Morgan Chalfant, Lisa Jones, and Paulia Bailey, and Amanda Fields, as well as reviewed syllabi for composition composition courses. I maintained email contact with Kathy Wagoner who is teaching a concurrent enrollment course at Hays High School; my site visits in the two previous visits had not revealed any problems. I completed 18 evaluations of composition coursework from other institutions for transferability in summer and fall 2015, and spring 2016.

## Report on Writing Center


### **1. Usage statistics.**

The Writing Center was open from 8/24/2015 to 5/12/2016. During this period, the Center served 511 unique clients in 1354 consultations.

Table 1.  
Writing Center's usage: historical perspective

	fall	spring	annual
2004-2005	518	431	949
2005-2006	507	503	1010
2006-2007	579	689	1268
2007-2008	943	1114	2057
2008-2009	782	696	1478
2009-2010	615	573	1188
2010-2011	806	662	1468
2011-2012	715	526	1241
2012-2013	772	856	1628
2013-2014	843	707	1550
2014-2015	829	631	1460
2015-2016	800	548	1348

Figure 2.  
Writing Center's usage: historical perspective



**2. Client base.**

Descriptive statistics for the client base are given in Tables 2 through 5.

Table 2.  
Distribution of clients by class

Class	Fall	Spring	Total
JR	27	49	76
SO	33	89	122
GR	41	56	97
SR	48	49	97
FR	16	103	119

Table 3.  
Distribution of clients by residence

	Fall	Spring	Total
Domestic	103	102	205
International	97	202	299

Table 4.  
Distribution of clients by gender

	Fall	Spring	Total
Female	119	194	313
Male	81	109	190

Table 5.  
Distribution of appointments by course

ENG	503	37.31%
COMM	192	14.24%
ENG	96	7.12%
IDS	85	6.31%
MGT	59	4.38%
HIST	39	2.89%
MBA	38	2.82%
GSCI	35	2.60%
LDRS	32	2.37%
PSY	30	2.23%
MKT	29	2.15%
NURS	12	0.89%
INT	12	0.89%
HHP	10	0.74%
POLS	9	0.67%
ACCT	8	0.59%
COMM	8	0.59%
SOC	7	0.52%

LDRS	7	0.52%
TEEL	7	0.52%
THM	7	0.52%
NUR	6	0.45%
TECS	6	0.45%
BCOM	6	0.45%
BIO	5	0.37%
ECFI	5	0.37%
GEO	5	0.37%
ESL	5	0.37%
SOCW	5	0.37%
PHYS	4	0.30%
ART	4	0.30%
CSCI	4	0.30%
AEP	3	0.22%
PHIL	3	0.22%
THTR	3	0.22%
BUS	3	0.22%
BIOL	3	0.22%
GLE	3	0.22%
BCOMM	3	0.22%
EDU	3	0.22%
HESA	2	0.15%
AGR	2	0.15%
JUS	2	0.15%
GEO	2	0.15%
GBUS	2	0.15%
PHY	2	0.15%
MGT	2	0.15%
INF	2	0.15%
MATH	2	0.15%
NURS	2	0.15%
ACC	1	0.07%
READ	1	0.07%
EGN	1	0.07%
AGRI	1	0.07%
TEEL	1	0.07%
ESL	1	0.07%

JUS	1	0.07%
ACCT	1	0.07%
UNIV	1	0.07%
PHY	1	0.07%
CHEM	1	0.07%
GIS	1	0.07%
COMS	1	0.07%
MATH	1	0.07%
TESP	1	0.07%
CSC	1	0.07%
HRM	1	0.07%
GBGS	1	0.07%
TEEP	1	0.07%
GIC	1	0.07%
MLS	1	0.07%
NUR	1	0.07%
ATEP	1	0.07%
TESP	1	0.07%

**3. Consultants' performance.**

Table 6 provides mean results for the evaluation of tutors by clients.

Table 6.  
Evaluation of consultants by students

helpfulness	4.86632200886
knowledge	4.85893648449
respect	4.89364844904