

FHSU General Education Committee

Minutes

Meeting Called by

Bradley Will, Chair

Date: Thursday February 4, 2021

Time: 3:30-5:00

Location: <https://fhsu.zoom.us/j/93003453531>

Members

Douglas Drabkin (AHSS)
Marcella Marez (AHSS)
Christina Glenn (BE)
David Schmidt (BE)
Sarah Broman Miller (Ed)
Phillip Olt (Ed)
Glen McNeil (HBS)
Denise Orth (HBS)
Joe Chretien (STM)
Lane Young (STM)
Robyn Hartman (Lib)
Helen Miles (Senate)
Isaiah Schindler (SGA)
Cheryl Duffy (Goss Engl)
Tanya Smith (Grad Sch)

3:33 (1 minute) All members were present with the exception of Chretien, Schmidt, and Smith. Miller served as proxy for Schmidt. Kevin Splichal (Faculty Senate) was also in attendance.

3:34 (1 minute) The minutes from last week's meeting were approved.

3:35 (9 minutes) The committee considered a proposal from the Department of Sociology for SOC 333: Global Forces in a Changing World to satisfy the outcomes for objective 3.3, engaged global citizens. We voted to **approve** the course **contingent** upon a small change being made to the course syllabus. We ask that the three 3.3 outcomes be plainly listed on the syllabus.

3:44 (6 minutes) Attention turned next to a proposal from the Department of Nursing for a pair of courses, NURS 488: Global Nursing Experience and NURS 488L: Global Nursing Experience Practicum, to jointly satisfy the outcomes for objective 3.3, engaged global citizens. The consensus of the committee is that the course(s) does not appear to be a good fit for objective 3.3, but does appear to be an excellent fit for objective 3.2, intercultural competence. Chair will convey our reasoning to the Department of Nursing, and also suggest that it may be best to assess both 3.2 outcomes in the second of the pair of courses, NURS 488L. The proposal is **tabled** until we hear back from the department.

3:50 (20 minutes) Next was a proposal from the Department of Leadership Studies for IDS 407: Global Challenges to satisfy the outcomes for objective 3.3, engaged global citizens. The consensus here was that the course appears to be a

good match for 3.3, but that the assignments and rubric don't appear to match up well with the three 3.3 outcomes. Chair will speak with Kaley Klaus, who submitted the proposal, about the committee's concerns. The proposal is **tabled** until we hear back from the department.

4:10 (10 minutes?) The meeting ended with a discussion of details concerning the TEAMS program that we're using this semester to organize our thoughts in preparation for our Thursday meetings. A recommendation came from this discussion: that we post our thoughts about each course proposal through the "Conversation" option in the top right corner of the file document we're studying. This will keep our comments more or less pinned to the proposal, and this will help keep things organized. Otherwise everything just ends up in an a list under "Posts" where there is no grouping by content. "Posts" is just a chronological listing.

4:20? Meeting ended. Our next meeting is scheduled for Thursday February 11.

Submitted by D. Drabkin, Recording Secretary

